

# Wavelet-Galerkin Methods for Differential Equations

Texas A&M University Summer 2003

Matrix Analysis and Wavelets REU

Ivan Christov

Massachusetts Institute of Technology

# Overview

- Introduction to the Galerkin Method.
- Brief introduction to Sobolev Spaces and their purpose.
- 2 Dimensional MRAs and Wavelets.
- Improving approximation with scaling functions and wavelets by
  - the Elevation scheme.
  - the Leverage Scheme.
- Wavelet-Galerkin Methods
  - with 1D MRAs and Wavelets.
  - with 2D MRAs and Wavelets.
- Related open problems.
- Concluding remarks.

# The Galerkin Method

Consider the following Boundary Value Problem:

$$L[u(x, y)] = 0 \text{ on } D(x, y), \text{ and } S(u) = 0 \text{ on } \partial D \quad (1)$$

and let,

$$u(x, y) \approx u_a(x, y) = u_0(x, y) + \sum_{i=1}^N a_i g_i(x, y),$$

then by applying (1),

$$L\left[\sum_{i=1}^N a_i g_i(x, y)\right] + L[u_0(x, y)] = R(a_1, \dots, a_N, x, y)$$

# The Galerkin Method (cont'ed)

Minimize  $R(a_1, \dots, a_N, x, y)$  with respect to  $\{g_i\}_{i=1}^N$  as follows,

$$\langle R(a_1, \dots, a_N, x, y), g_i(x, y) \rangle_{L^2} = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N$$

and with some algebra we have,

$$\sum_{j=1}^N a_j \langle L[g_j(x, y)], g_i(x, y) \rangle + \langle L[u_a(x, y)], g_i(x, y) \rangle = 0. \quad (2)$$

The latter can be rewritten in matrix form as follows,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \langle L[g_1], g_1 \rangle & \dots & \langle L[g_N], g_1 \rangle \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \langle L[g_1], g_N \rangle & \dots & \langle L[g_N], g_N \rangle \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_N \end{pmatrix} = - \begin{pmatrix} \langle L[u_a], g_1 \rangle \\ \vdots \\ \langle L[u_a], g_N \rangle \end{pmatrix}.$$

# Sobolev Spaces

- Definition:

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ , then the Sobolev Space of order  $s$  on  $\Omega$  is

$$H^s(\Omega) = \left\{ f(x_1, \dots, x_N) \in L^2(\Omega) \mid \frac{\partial^k f}{\partial x_j^k} \in L^2(\Omega) \right\}$$

where  $j = 1, \dots, N$  and  $k = 1, \dots, s$ .

- Sobolev Norm and Semi-Norm:

$$\|f\|_{s,\Omega}^2 = \sum_{i=0}^s \int_{\Omega} |f^{(i)}|^2 du, \quad |f|_{s,\Omega}^2 = \int_{\Omega} |f^{(s)}|^2 du$$

- Iterative Inner Product:

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{s,\Omega}^2 = \sum_{i=0}^s \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial^i f}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial^i g}{\partial x^i} du$$

# Why Sobolev Spaces?

- Guarantee existence of  $s$  square-integrable derivatives on the solution interval.
- Allow imposition of boundary conditions on the space.  
Ex:  
1D Dirichlet BC -  $H_0^s(\Omega) = \{f \in H^s(\Omega) \mid f(a) = f(b) = 0\}$
- Functional subspaces of  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^k)$ , so
  - can be solutions spaces for PDEs.
  - frames for  $L^2$  are frames for  $H^s$ .
- In the Sobolev Space of order 1 the semi-norm is a norm.

# 2D Scaling Functions and Wavelets

- Tensor products of 1D scaling functions and wavelets:  
Scaling Function -

$$\phi_{(n,j),(m,k)} = \phi_{n,j} \otimes \phi_{m,k}$$

Three Wavelets -

$$\psi_{(n,j),(m,k)}^1 = \phi_{n,j} \otimes \psi_{m,k},$$

$$\psi_{(n,j),(m,k)}^2 = \psi_{n,j} \otimes \phi_{m,k},$$

$$\psi_{(n,j),(m,k)}^3 = \psi_{n,j} \otimes \psi_{m,k}$$

- Triorthogonal wavelet system by construction.

# 2D ... Wavelets (cont'ed)

- Construct a new scaling function and wavelets as,

$$\phi_{n,\vec{k}}(\vec{x}) = \phi(A^{-n}\vec{x} - \vec{k}), \quad \psi_{n,\vec{k}}(\vec{x}) = \phi(A^{-n}\vec{x} - \vec{k})$$

where  $A$  is the dilation matrix, typically  $const. \cdot I_2$ .

- $\{\psi_{n,\vec{k}}\}$  is a basis of  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ .
- Orthogonality Condition:

$$\text{For } \psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2), \quad |\det(A)| = 2$$

$$|H(\vec{\omega})|^2 + |H(\vec{\omega} + \pi(\hat{i} + \hat{j}))|^2 = 1, \quad H(0) = 1.$$

$$H(\vec{\omega}) = \sum_{\vec{k}} h_{\vec{k}} e^{-i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{\omega}}, \quad h_{\vec{k}} \text{ are the scaling coefficients.}$$



# Elevation Scheme

- Elevation through the 1D functions:
  - Take the elevation of  $\phi(x)$  as  $\Phi(x) = \int_{\alpha}^x \phi(t)dt$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ .
  - Construct  $\Psi(x)$  in the same way.
  - Using the 1D elevations construct the 2D elevations via a tensor product.
  - Shortcomings:  $\Phi(x, y)$  and  $\Psi(x, y)$  are only once differentiable in  $x$  and  $y$ . MRA formulas do not hold between  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$ .
- Elevation of the 2D functions:
  - For a PDE requiring 2  $x$  and 2  $y$  partial derivatives:

$$\Phi(x, y) = \int_{\beta_1}^y \int_{\beta_2}^y \int_{\alpha_1}^x \int_{\alpha_2}^x \phi(u, v) du dv du dv$$

# Leverage Scheme

- Construct a new elevation of  $\phi$ ,  $\Phi(\vec{x}) = \int \phi(\vec{x}) - \phi(\vec{x} - \vec{u})$
- This elevation forms an MRA on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$
- Construct  $\Psi$  using the MRA definition.
- For a complete leverage scheme, construct  $\tilde{\Phi}$  using the frequency response criterion:  
$$m_0^{\tilde{\Phi}}(\vec{\omega}) = -e^{-i\omega} \overline{m_0^{\Phi}(\vec{\omega} + \pi(\hat{i} + \hat{j}))}.$$
- Construct  $\tilde{\Psi}$  using MRA formulas.
- $\{\Phi, \tilde{\Phi}, \Psi, \tilde{\Psi}\}$  is a biorthogonal system in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ .
- Advantages: MRA formulas can be used to quickly change resolution levels and functional bases. Four mutually orthogonal sets of functional bases to choose from.

# Wavelet-Galerkin Method Type 1

- Let  $\{\phi_n(x)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a frame for  $L^2((a, b))$ , then the elevation  $\{\Phi_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a frame too.
- Eliminate linearly dependant terms.
- For some  $J > 0$ ,  $\{1, \Psi_{j,k} \mid 0 \leq j < J, k \in D_j\}$  and  $\{1, \Phi_{J,k} \mid 1 - R \leq k \leq 2^J R - 1\}$  are bases of  $S_J \subset H^1((0, R))$ .  $D_j = \{k \in p - R \leq k \leq 2^j R - p\}$ .
- Using the tensor product construction,  $\Psi_{n,j}^{m,k}$  are bases for  $S_{J,K} \subset H^1 \times H^1$ .
- Express the solution in terms of the basis, and solve a linear system of equations for the coefficients.

# Wavelet-Galerkin Method Type 2

- Take  $\{\phi_{n,\vec{k}}(x, y)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  to be a frame of  $L^2((a, b) \times (c, d))$ . Then the elevation or leverage can be constructed and  $\{\Phi_{n,\vec{k}}(x, y)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  will be a frame as well.
- Eliminate the linearly dependant functions - for some  $J > 0$ ,  $\{1, \Psi_{j,(k_1,k_2)} \mid 0 \leq j < J, k_1, k_2 \in D_j\}$  and  $\{1, \Phi_{J,(k_1,k_2)} \mid 1 - R \leq k_1, k_2 \leq 2^J R - 1\}$  are bases of  $S_J \subset H^s((a, b) \times (c, d))$ .  $D_j = \{k \in p - R \leq k \leq 2^j R - p\}$ .
- Depending on the elevation/leverage these functions can be a basis for a solution space for a PDE with up to  $s$  derivatives with respect to  $x$  or  $y$ .
- Express the BVP solution in terms of the basis and solve a system of linear equations to get the coefficients.

# Related Open Problems

- Construction of smooth compactly supported orthogonal wavelets in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .
- Adapt the elevation/leverage schemes to a non-square boundary.
- Numerical analysis (comparison) of the elevation/leverage schemes in 2 dimensions and classical 2D Galerkin approaches.
- Numerical Quadrature formulas for improved calculation of involved inner products.
- Analysis of the stiffness matrix - reduction of operations, sparsity.