

INTEGRATING THE NORMAL CURVE

IVAN CHRISTOV

1. INTRODUCTION

Let us denote the normal distribution function as $N(s) = \int_0^s e^{-x^2} dx$. Evaluating $N(s)$ arises often in the study of probability and statistics, since the area under the normal curve represents the probability of a certain event occurring. In this paper we will attempt to integrate e^{-x^2} . However the integral cannot be evaluated by traditional means (i.e. the fundamental theorem of calculus) because an analytical expression for the anti-derivative of e^{-x^2} does not exist. Thus we will endeavor to find a different way of approaching the problem. Eventually a method for finding the value of N for all s will be proposed.

2. SOLUTION TO THE PROBLEM

In order to evaluate $N(s)$ we will first evaluate $N^2(s)$ and then simply take the square root to find the desired values.

2.1. Solution on an Infinite Interval. Before attempting to evaluate the normal distribution for all s , let us first consider the case when we are finding out all the area under the curve, i.e. $N(\infty)$. Thus let us consider $N^2(\infty)$,

$$N^2(\infty) = \left(\int_0^\infty e^{-x^2} dx \right)^2 = \int_0^\infty e^{-x^2} dx \int_0^\infty e^{-x^2} dx.$$

Since the two integrals are independent of each other, we can change the integrating variable of the second integral from x to, say, y , thus

$$N^2(\infty) = \int_0^\infty e^{-x^2} dx \int_0^\infty e^{-y^2} dy.$$

The limits of integration do not depend on x or y , thus we can combine the product of the two integrals into a single double integral since the area of integration will not change. Consequently,

$$(1) \quad N^2(\infty) = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty e^{-x^2} e^{-y^2} dx dy = \iint_R e^{-(x^2+y^2)} dA,$$

where $R = \{(x, y) \mid x, y \geq 0\}$ and $dA = dx dy$.

Although it is not obvious, a change to polar coordinates at this point will let us evaluate the integral very easily using the fundamental theorem of calculus. By way of the following theorem (see p.853, [2])

$$\iint_S f(x, y) dA = \int_\alpha^\beta \int_a^b f(r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta) r dr d\theta,$$

we change (1) from rectangular to polar coordinates. Hence,

$$(2) \quad N^2(\infty) = \iint_D r e^{-r^2} dA,$$

where $D = \{(r, \theta) \mid r \geq 0, 0 \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2}\}$ and $dA = dr d\theta$. An important note must go here: in order to achieve the same result, we must make sure that we are integrating over the same region, or that at least we achieve the same result when integrating over a different region. In general $R \neq D$, but since $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} e^{-x^2} = 0$ (we are considering the case of $x = \infty$ at this moment) and e^{-x^2} is monotonous, decreasing and $(e^{-x^2}) < (x^2 + y^2 = \infty)$ on $(0, \infty)$, then we will not be losing any area when integrating over the sector D rather than over the square R .

Substituting the limits into the integral we achieve

$$(3) \quad \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \int_0^{\infty} r e^{-r^2} dr d\theta = \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} \left(-\frac{1}{2} e^{-a^2} + \frac{1}{2} \right) d\theta = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} d\theta = \frac{\pi}{4}.$$

From (3) we see that $N^2(\infty) = \frac{\pi}{4}$, consequently $N(\infty) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2}$. Thus we have found at least one exact value of N . From the results of this section we can conclude that the area of the normal curve on $(-\infty, \infty)$ is **exactly** $\sqrt{\pi}$.

2.2. Solution on a Finite Interval. Now we find the value of $N(s)$ from $N^2(s)$ for any s . Let us rewrite (1) as,

$$(4) \quad N^2(s) = \iint_{\Sigma} e^{-(x^2+y^2)} dA,$$

where $\Sigma = \{(x, y) \mid 0 \leq x, y \leq s\}$ and $dA = dx dy$. Now let us define $\Omega_1 = \{(x, y) \mid 0 \leq r \leq s, 0 \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2}\}$ and $\Omega_2 = \{(x, y) \mid 0 \leq r \leq s\sqrt{2}, 0 \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2}\}$. Simply put, the region Ω_1 defines the circle inscribed in Σ while the region Ω_2 describes the circle circumscribed over Σ . As we discussed earlier, e^{-x^2} is monotonous and decreasing on $(0, \infty)$ and thus (see p.306, [1])

$$(5) \quad \iint_{\Omega_1} < \iint_{\Sigma} < \iint_{\Omega_2}.$$

We can easily evaluate the double integrals over Ω_1 and Ω_2 , because the regions are polar. Using the same method as when we evaluated (1) we transform the inequality in (5) to

$$\frac{\pi}{4} (1 - e^{-s^2}) < \iint_{\Sigma} < \frac{\pi}{4} (1 - e^{-2s^2}),$$

which in turn is

$$(6) \quad \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} \left(\sqrt{1 - e^{-s^2}} \right) < N(s) < \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} \left(\sqrt{1 - e^{-2s^2}} \right).$$

The last inequality tells us precisely where the value of $N(s)$ lies, however there does not exist a way of finding the value exactly. Thus the best

approximate that can be offered at this point is

$$(7) \quad N(s) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{4} \left(\sqrt{1 - e^{-s^2}} + \sqrt{1 - e^{-2s^2}} \right).$$

In other words the midpoint of the interval in (6).

3. ANALYSIS AND CONCLUSION

3.1. Speed of Convergence of the Proposed Method. Table 1 below summarizes the results of three methods of integrating the normal curve. In column one are shown the values of N accurate to nine decimal places [3]. In the second column we present the result of integrating the McLaurin Series for e^{-x^2} with ten terms. In the third column are the results from using Simpson's $\frac{1}{3}$ Rule for numerically evaluating an integral, using 100 subdivisions. In the fourth column we have the results of the method derived in the previous section.

x	Exact	McLaurin Series	Simpson's Rule	Method from (7)
10.0	0.886226925	-1.31672402x10 ¹²	0.852893592	0.886226925
6.0	0.886226925	-98744195	0.866266925	0.886226925
4.0	0.886226912	-24195.970	0.872893573	0.886226901
3.0	0.886207348	-76.48332393	0.876203293	0.886199579
2.5	0.885866274	-1.05976082	0.877481543	0.885798188
2.0	0.882081391	0.861525336	0.875033411	0.882075889
1.5	0.856188394	0.856133349	0.849571416	0.859756818
1.0	0.746824133	0.746824121	0.739775095	0.764341297
0.5	0.461281006	0.461281006	0.455710617	0.486356708

TABLE 1

From the data in Table 1 we see that method proposed here is actually quite accurate for large s and is quickly convergent – seven digit accuracy at $s = 4$. We see that Simpson's Rule is consistently at one digit accuracy, and the McLaurin Series diverges rapidly for moderate and large s , although it is very accurate around $s = 0$.

3.2. Closing Remarks. In conclusion, we have managed to find an accurate and computationally inexpensive method for evaluating $N(s)$. In the process we have also found one exact value of the function, and that is $N(\infty)$. From that value we inferred that the area under the entire normal curve is $\sqrt{\pi}$.

REFERENCES

[1] Widder, D. V., *Advanced Calculus*, Prentice-Hall, New York, 1947.
 [2] Stewart, *Calculus 3 ed.*, Brooks/Cole, Pacific Grove 1995.
 [3] McClave, J. T. and Sincich, T., *A First Course in Statistics 6 ed.*, Prentice-Hall, New York 1997.