

- Much like the "mixing" of a deck cards, interval exchange transformations (IETs) represent cutting and shuffling [Krotter et al., 2012].
- In this case, "cards" can have nonuniform lengths and they may have a "color" assigned to them, both of which may change during the mixing process.
- Cutting and shuffling is to be distinguished from stretching and folding (chaotic mixing) [Christov et al., 2011], and can arise when a granular material is broken into pieces and then put back together in a volume/area preserving (isometric) way [Juarez et al., 2010].
- Consider a cutting and shuffling IET construction with the following parameters:
- 1. number of subsegments, N, introduced in each cutting step,
- 2. shuffling order, which is a permutation, Π , of the integers up to N,
- 3. lengths of each subsegment (parametrized by a fixed adjacent subsegment length ratio r), 4. number of iterations performed, an integer T.



FIGURE 1: Example of a line segment composed of N = 4 subsegments with lengths $r^{i-1}x$, i = 1, 2, 3, 4. Two iterations of the cutting and shuffling process (without diffusion) are performed with the permutation $\Pi = [3142]$. Key terminology is labeled.

Quantifying the Degree of Mixing

- Functional-space norms, including multiscale ones, are used to quantify mixing [Thiffeault, 2012].
- We use the standard L^p norm, $||c_j||_p(T)$ (p = 2 for all examples here), to measures how far the segment's color distribution is from the average (uniform) concentration:

$$||c_j||_p(T) = \left(rac{\sum_{j=1}^{k(T)} |(c_j - \overline{c})|^p l_j}{\sum_{j=1}^{k(T)} l_j}
ight)^{1/p},$$

where k(T) is the number of continuous subsegments after T iterations, each of length l_i , c_i is the color of the *j*th continuous subsegments, \overline{c} is the "average color" at T = 0.

Cutting and Shuffling with Diffusion: Cut-offs in Interval Exchange Maps Mengying Wang and Ivan C. Christov School of Mechanical Engineering, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana 47907, USA 0.35show a standard deviation from the mean. 0.3





FIGURE 2: Cutting and shuffling process with N = 5, $\Pi = [52413]$, r = 1.5, T = 50. (a) Space-time plot of the mixing process. (b) The mixing norm remains constant without diffusion.

Incorporating Diffusion

- Consider a generic diffusion equation for the concentration c(x, t) of subsegment color, with diffusivity D: $\partial_t c = D \partial_x^2 c$. Idea: Solve the diffusion eq. between c&s steps [Ashwin et al., 2002].
- Discretize the diffusion eq. with usual forward-time, central-space scheme on a lattice of unit spacings $(\Delta x = \Delta t = 1)$ with periodic BCs: $c_i^{n+1} - c_i^n = D(c_{i+1}^n - 2c_i^n + c_{i-1}^n)$, where $c_i^n \approx c(x_i, t^n)$ with $x_i = i \ (i = 1, ..., L)$ and $t^n = n \ (n = 0, ..., T)$. Stable if $D \leq \frac{1}{2}$.
- This gives rise to our diffusion rule: $c_i \mapsto (1-2D)c_i + Dc_{i+1} + Dc_{i-1}$.
- Different $r \Rightarrow$ different L; use dimensional analysis to connect T_{final} for different L with fixed D.



FIGURE 3: Example of incorporating diffusion into the same dynamical system from FIG. 2 with D = 0.5. (a) Space-time plot. (b) The mixing norm now decays with T due to diffusion.

Rescaled Universal Mixing Curves and Cut-offs

- In card shuffling, [Aldous and Diaconis, 1986] used probabilistic methods to show 7 riffle shuffles are enough to randomize a deck; further shuffles do not produce significant mixing. This is a "cut-off."
- Question: Do cut-offs exist in IETs with diffusion? Preliminary work on chaotic mixing [Liang and West, 2008] suggests "probably." What about cutting and shuffling?

- Third, we rescale $||c_i||_2(T) \mapsto ||c_i||_2(T)/M$, where $M := ||c_i||_2(0)$, so that the mixing norm is in the range [0, 1].
- collapse onto a universal curve \Rightarrow a cut-off!



- FIGURE 4: Cutting and shuffling with diffusion across different systems / mixing protocols exhibits a universal mixing behavior when rescaled, which suggests the existence of a "cut-off."
- <u>Outlook</u>: Is universality present when stretching & folding is superimposed [Kreczak et al., 2017]? What if diffusion is "optimized" [Froyland et al., 2016]?

References

- [Aldous and Diaconis, 1986] Aldous, D. and Diaconis, P. (1986). Shuffling cards and stopping times. Am. Math. Monthly, 93:333–348.
- [Ashwin et al., 2002] Ashwin, P., Nicol, M., and Kirkby, N. (2002). Acceleration of one-dimensional mixing by discontinuous mappings. *Physica A*, 310:347–363.
- [Christov et al., 2011] Christov, I. C., Lueptow, R. M., and Ottino, J. M. (2011). Stretching and folding versus cutting and shuffling: An illustrated perspective on mixing ... Am. J. Phys., 74:359–367.
- [Froyland et al., 2016] Froyland, G., González-Tokman, C., and Watson, T. M. (2016). Optimal mixing enhancement by local perturbation. SIAM Rev., 58:494-513.
- [Juarez et al., 2010] Juarez, G., Lueptow, R. M., Ottino, J. M., Sturman, R., and Wiggins, S. (2010). Mixing by cutting and shuffling. EPL, 91:20003.
- [Kreczak et al., 2017] Kreczak, H., Sturman, R., and Wilson, M. C. T. (2017). Deceleration of onedimensional mixing by discontinuous mappings. Phys. Rev. E., 96:053112.
- [Krotter et al., 2012] Krotter, M. K., Christov, I. C., Ottino, J. M., and Lueptow, R. M. (2012). Cutting and shuffling a line segment: Mixing by ... Int. J. Bifurcation Chaos, 22:1230041.
- microfluidic mixing. In Proc. ASME 2008 Dynamic Systems and Control Conf., Ann Arbor, Michigan.
- [Liang and West, 2008] Liang, T.-C. and West, M. (2008). Numerical evidence for cutoffs in chaotic [Thiffeault, 2012] Thiffeault, J.-L. (2012). Using multiscale norms to quantify mixing and transport. Nonlinearity, 25:R1–R44.

 \circ Presented at the Poster Sessions of Dynamics Days 2018, Denver, Colorado. \circ



- First, we compute the mixing norm, as a function of T, for many different permutations Π , then we average the curves into a single profile for each N and r (all with D = 0.5). Error bars in FIG. 4
- Second, we find how many iterations (a number denoted by T_{Pe}) are required to decrease the initial value of the mixing norm by 50%: i.e., $||c_j||_2(T_{Pe}) \approx 0.5 ||c_j||_2(0)$.

• Fourth, we plot $||c_j||_2(T)/M$ vs. $T/T_{Pe}|$, where T_{Pe} is different for each curve, and we observe